UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/894,653	06/27/2001	Daniel Dedu-Constantin	MS146953.01/MSFTP250US 6973		
	7590 09/11/200 CY & CALVIN, LLP	8	EXAMINER		
24TH FLOOR,	NATIONAL CITY CI	ENTER	CHEN, TE Y		
1900 EAST NINTH STREET CLEVELAND, OH 44114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2161		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/11/2008	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket1@thepatentattorneys.com hholmes@thepatentattorneys.com lpasterchek@thepatentattorneys.com

Intorvious Summary	09/894,653 DEDU-CONS		TANTIN ET AL.	
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	SUSAN Y. CHEN	2161		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):			
(1) <u>SUSAN Y. CHEN</u> .	(3) <u>Daniel Crouse</u> .			
(2) <u>Evan Perry</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>04 September 2008</u> .				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) <mark> applicant's representative</mark>	e]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>U.S. Pub No. 2002/001</u>	<u>9824 A1</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	ı)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.		
reached, or any other comments: Applicant representative Holder et al. failed to disclose the claimed relational database representative attention to Fig. 2 and the sections: 0021-0 representative arguments clearly disclosed the claimed relating agreement was not available. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ANTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW DATE on reverse side or on attached sheet.	ase, the examiner disagreed a 022 of Holders' invention, which attional database, thus, based of the amendments that wild.) ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE Last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	nd directed the ch in contrary to on the discussion reed would render the sould render the sould render the been filed, APP (DAYS FROM TWHICHEVER IS	er the claims claims OF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO	
	/Susan Chen/			

Application No.

Applicant(s)